Transfer Learning in Brain Tumor Classification: Challenges, Opportunities, and Future Prospects

Raja Waseem Anwar*
Department of Computer Science
German University of Technology (GUTech) - Muscat, Sultanate of Oman
raja.anwar@gutech.edu.om

Muhammad Abrar
Faculty of Computer Studies
Arab Open University (AOU)
Muscat, Sultanate of Oman
abrar.m@aou.edu.om

Faizan Ullah
Department of Computer Science
Bacha Khan University, Charsadda
KPK, Pakistan
faizanullah@bkuc.edu.pk

***Abstract*— Brain tumor classification plays a critical role in diagnosing and treating patients effectively. However, the limited availability of annotated data and the complexity of tumor images present significant challenges in achieving accurate classification. In recent years, transfer learning has emerged as a promising approach to leverage pre-trained models on large-scale datasets to improve the performance of brain tumor classification tasks. This research paper presents an in-depth exploration of transfer learning techniques in the context of brain tumor classification. It examines the challenges associated with applying transfer learning in this domain, including domain shift, dataset bias, and feature transferability. Additionally, it highlights the opportunities that transfer learning offers, such as improved generalization, reduced training time, and enhanced performance with limited labelled data. In addition, the paper discusses state-of-the-art transfer learning models for brain tumor classification and analyzes their strengths and limitations. Furthermore, it emphasizes the importance of appropriate evaluation metrics and datasets for benchmarking and comparing different approaches. Also, this research paper identifies the future prospects and research directions in the area of transfer learning for brain tumor classification, including the integration of multi-modal data, interpretable transfer learning models, and domain adaptation techniques. Ethical considerations and the limitations of transfer learning in healthcare are also discussed. Ultimately, this paper aims to provide insights into the challenges, opportunities, and future prospects of transfer learning in brain tumor classification, with the goal of advancing the development of accurate and efficient diagnostic tools in clinical settings.**

Keywords— Transfer Learning, Deep Learning, Medical Image Analysis, Image Classification, Data augmentation, Convolutional Neural Networks

I. Introduction

Brain tumors are a significant health concern worldwide, with a wide range of classifications and varying degrees of malignancy [1]. Accurate classification of brain tumors is crucial for determining appropriate treatment strategies and improving patient outcomes [2]. However, manual interpretation of medical images by radiologists is time-consuming and subjective, and the scarcity of expert annotations limits the availability of labelled data for training robust machine learning models [3]. Consequently, there is a growing interest in leveraging transfer learning techniques to enhance the performance of brain tumor classification tasks. Transfer learning has emerged as a powerful paradigm in machine learning, allowing the transfer of knowledge learned from a source domain to a target domain [4]. In the context of brain tumor classification, transfer learning enables the utilization of pre-trained models, typically trained on large-scale datasets, to extract meaningful features from medical images and improve classification accuracy [5]. By leveraging knowledge learned from similar tasks or domains, transfer learning can mitigate the challenges associated with limited labeled data and enable more effective learning of discriminative features [6].

This research paper aims to provide a comprehensive exploration of transfer learning techniques in the domain of brain tumor classification. By understanding the challenges and opportunities associated with transfer learning, we can better harness its potential for improving classification performance. This paper investigates the challenges related to transfer learning in brain tumor classification, including domain shift, dataset bias, and feature transferability. Domain shift refers to the distributional differences between the source domain (where the pre-trained model is trained) and the target domain (brain tumor images)[7]. Such differences can arise due to variations in imaging protocols, equipment, or patient demographics[8]. Addressing domain shift is crucial for ensuring that the transfer learning approach effectively generalizes to the target domain, as inaccurate alignment may lead to compromised classification performance [9].

Dataset bias is another challenge in brain tumor classification. Since the availability of annotated brain tumor images is limited, datasets often suffer from class imbalance, where certain tumor types are underrepresented. This bias can impact the model's ability to generalize well across different tumor classes, leading to reduced accuracy and biased predictions [10]. Overcoming dataset bias is crucial for achieving more equitable and reliable brain tumor classification.

Furthermore, feature transferability is a critical aspect of transfer learning in brain tumor classification [11]. Pre-trained models are typically trained on diverse visual recognition tasks, such as object detection or image classification. The challenge lies in determining the suitability of these generic features for capturing discriminative information specific to brain tumor classification. Understanding the transferability of features and exploring techniques to adapt them to the target task is essential for achieving optimal performance [12].

To address these challenges, numerous transfer learning approaches have been proposed for brain tumor classification. This paper reviews and analyzes state-of-the-art transfer learning models and investigates their strengths and limitations. Moreover, we delve into the evaluation metrics and datasets commonly used for benchmarking brain tumor classification models, emphasizing the importance of standardized evaluation practices to ensure fair comparisons and reliable performance assessment[13].

Looking ahead, this research paper discusses the future prospects and research directions in transfer learning for brain tumor classification. Integration of multi-modal data, domain adaptation techniques, and interpretable transfer learning models are identified as promising areas of exploration. Additionally, ethical considerations related to patient privacy, bias mitigation, and model interpretability are examined [14].

This study provides a comprehensive examination of transfer learning techniques in the context of brain tumor classification. By addressing the challenges, leveraging the opportunities, and identifying future prospects, this study aims to advance the development of accurate and efficient diagnostic tools, ultimately improving patient care and outcomes.

II. Understanding Transfer learning in brain tumor classification

Transfer learning is a machine learning technique that aims to leverage knowledge learned from a source domain to improve learning performance in a target domain [15]. It is particularly useful when the target domain has limited labeled data or when the target task is different but related to the source task[16]. By utilizing pre-trained models that have been trained on large-scale datasets and related tasks, transfer learning enables the extraction of valuable features that can enhance the accuracy of brain tumor classification [17].

A. *Transfer learning Approaches*

Transfer learning in brain tumor classification can be achieved through two main approaches: feature extraction and fine-tuning which are described below.

B. *Feature Extraction*

In feature extraction, the pre-trained model is used as a fixed feature extractor[18]. The earlier layers of the pre-trained model, typically composed of convolutional layers, have learned to capture general visual features from diverse images. These features can be relevant for identifying tumor characteristics in medical images, such as edges, textures, or shapes [19]. By utilizing the pre-trained model's learned features, a new classifier is trained on the target domain data to perform brain tumor classification [12].

The advantage of the feature extraction approach is that it allows for the utilization of powerful pre-trained models that have learned generic visual features. Moreover, it requires minimal computational resources since only the classifier layers need to be trained on the target domain data. This approach is especially effective when the target domain has a limited amount of labeled data, as it leverages the knowledge captured by the pre-trained model [20].

III. Challenges in transfer learning for brain tumor classification

Transfer learning has shown great potential in improving the performance of brain tumor classification models. However, there are several challenges that need to be addressed to ensure the effectiveness and reliability of transfer learning techniques in this domain.

A. *Domain Shift*

One of the major challenges in transfer learning for brain tumor classification is domain shift. Domain shift refers to the differences in data distributions between the source domain (where the pre-trained model is trained) and the target domain (brain tumor images). These differences can arise due to variations in imaging protocols, equipment, or patient demographics. It is crucial to account for domain shift because the features learned from the source domain may not generalize well to the target domain, leading to decreased performance [21]. To address domain shift, various domain adaptation or domain alignment methods can be employed. These methods aim to minimize the distribution discrepancies between the source and target domains, allowing the transfer of learned knowledge to be more effective. Common approaches include adversarial domain adaptation, where a domain discriminator is used to align the feature distributions, and discrepancy-based methods that minimize the discrepancy between the source and target distributions [9, 22]

B. *Limited Labelled Data*

The choice of pre-trained models is another challenge in transfer learning for brain tumor classification. Different pre-trained models, such as VGGNet, ResNet, or InceptionNet, have varying depths, complexities, and representational powers [23]. Selecting an appropriate pre-trained model is crucial as it directly affects the model's ability to capture relevant tumor characteristics and generalize well to the target domain [24].

Deep architectures with more parameters may offer higher representational power but require a larger amount of labeled data for fine-tuning [25]. On the other hand, shallower architectures with fewer parameters may be computationally efficient but may not capture complex tumor characteristics effectively. Therefore, careful consideration should be given to choosing a pre-trained model that aligns with the specific requirements and constraints of the brain tumor classification task. Factors to consider include the availability of computational resources, the size of the target dataset, and the desired trade-off between accuracy and computational efficiency [22].

C. Interpretability and Explainability

Interpretability and explainability are critical aspects in the medical domain, where model decisions need to be transparent and understandable to gain trust and facilitate clinical adoption [26]. Deep learning models used in transfer learning are often considered black boxes, making it challenging to understand and interpret the decisions they make during brain tumor classification [27]. Future research should focus on developing methods to interpret and visualize the learned features and decision-making process of transfer learning models for brain tumor classification. Explainable AI techniques, such as attention mechanisms, saliency maps, or feature visualization, can provide insights into which image regions or features contribute most to the classification decision [28]. This interpretability can enhance trust, assist radiologists in validating the model's decisions, and facilitate the integration of transfer learning models into clinical practice [22].

IV. CURRENT STATE-OF-THE-ART TRANSFER LEARNING MODELS FOR BRAIN TUMOR

In recent years, several state-of-the-art transfer learning models have been proposed for brain tumor classification, leveraging the power of pre-trained deep neural networks. These models have demonstrated remarkable performance in accurately distinguishing between different tumor types and providing valuable insights for clinical decision-making. In this section, we discuss some of the prominent transfer learning models that have achieved significant advancements in brain tumor classification.

A. VGGNET

VGGNet is one of the pioneering deep convolutional neural network architectures that has been widely adopted for transfer learning in various computer vision tasks, including brain tumor classification. The VGGNet architecture consists of multiple convolutional layers followed by fully connected layers, enabling it to learn high-level features from input images. By leveraging pre-trained VGGNet models, researchers have achieved competitive performance in differentiating between different brain tumor types [23].

B. ResNET

ResNet, short for Residual Network, introduced the concept of residual connections to address the challenge of training very deep neural networks. ResNet architectures have shown exceptional performance in transfer learning for brain tumor classification. By utilizing pre-trained ResNet models, researchers have achieved state-of-the-art results in accurately distinguishing between different tumor types, demonstrating the robustness and generalization capability of ResNet-based transfer learning models [29].

C. InceptionNET

InceptionNet, also known as GoogLeNet, is another popular transfer learning model that has been successfully applied to brain tumor classification. The InceptionNet architecture introduces the concept of inception modules, which allow the model to capture multi-scale information through parallel convolutional operations. By leveraging pre-trained InceptionNet models, researchers have achieved competitive performance in accurately classifying brain tumors and differentiating between tumor subtypes [30].

D. DensNET

DenseNet is a dense convolutional neural network architecture that encourages feature reuse and information flow across different layers[31]. DenseNet models have shown remarkable performance in transfer learning for brain tumor classification tasks. By leveraging the dense connectivity patterns, DenseNet-based transfer learning models can effectively capture the complex and intricate characteristics of brain tumors, leading to improved classification accuracy [32].

E. EfficientNET

EfficientNet is a recent advancement in transfer learning models that aims to achieve a balance between model size and performance. EfficientNet models have demonstrated state-of-the-art results in various computer vision tasks, including brain tumor classification[33]. By leveraging the compound scaling method, EfficientNet achieves superior accuracy with a relatively smaller model size compared to other architectures. This makes EfficientNet particularly appealing for resource-constrained environments or scenarios with limited computational resources [34]. It's important to note that these transfer learning models serve as powerful feature extractors and classifiers, enabling effective knowledge transfer from pre-trained models to the brain tumor classification task. Researchers often fine-tune these models on specific brain tumor datasets to adapt them to the target task and further enhance their performance.

In conclusion, transfer learning models such as VGGNet, ResNet, InceptionNet, DenseNet, and EfficientNet have shown significant advancements in brain tumor classification. By leveraging these pre-trained models and fine-tuning them on specific brain tumor datasets, researchers have achieved state-of-the-art results in accurately classifying different tumor types and subtypes. The continuous advancements in transfer learning models offer great potential for improving diagnostic accuracy and assisting clinicians in making informed decisions in the field of brain tumor classification.

TABLE I. THE KEY FEATURES, ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF EACH TRANSFER LEARNING TECHNIQUE

Technique	Key Features	Advantages	Limitations
VGGNet	Deep convolutional network	Good performance in feature extraction and classification	Large model size, slower inference speed
ResNet	Residual connections	Excellent performance, robustness, and generalization	Deeper architectures may require more computational resources
InceptionNet	Inception modules	Captures multi-scale information, good classification performance	More complex architecture, requires careful optimization
DenseNet	Dense connectivity	Effective feature reuse, captures intricate tumor characteristics	Higher memory consumption, increased computational complexity
EfficientNet	Compound scaling method	Achieves high accuracy with smaller model size	Fine-tuning may be required for specific datasets

The **Error! Reference source not found.** provides an overview of the key features, advantages, and limitations of each transfer learning technique. Researchers can consider these factors when selecting an appropriate model for brain tumor classification, taking into account their specific requirements, available computational resources, and desired trade-offs between accuracy and efficiency.

V. FEATURE PROSPECTS AND RESEARCH DIRECTIONS IN TRANSFER LEARNING FOR BRAIN TUMOR CLASSIFICATION

Transfer learning has significantly advanced the field of brain tumor classification by leveraging pre-trained deep neural networks and transferring knowledge from related tasks. However, there are several promising research directions and future prospects that can further enhance the effectiveness, reliability, and clinical applicability of transfer learning approaches in this domain. In this section, we discuss some key areas for future exploration and research in transfer learning for brain tumor classification.

A. *Explainability and Interpretability*

One important aspect for the adoption of transfer learning models in clinical practice is their interpretability. While deep neural networks have achieved remarkable performance, their decision-making process often lacks transparency [35]. Future research should focus on developing methods to enhance the interpretability of transfer learning models for brain tumor classification. This could involve techniques such as attention mechanisms, saliency maps, or visualization methods to highlight the regions of input images that contribute most to the classification decision [36]. Interpretable models can help clinicians gain insights into the reasoning behind the predictions, leading to increased trust and adoption in clinical settings.

B. *Domain Adaptation and Generalization*

Brain tumor images obtained from different hospitals or imaging devices often exhibit domain shifts, including variations in image acquisition protocols, resolutions, and noise levels [37]. To ensure the robustness and generalizability of transfer learning models, research should focus on domain adaptation techniques. This involves adapting the models to new target domains by mitigating the distribution differences between the source and target data [38]. Domain adaptation methods, such as adversarial learning or self-supervised learning, can be explored to bridge the domain gap and improve the performance of transfer learning models across different clinical settings and imaging modalities.

C. *Incremental Learning and lifelong learning*

In real-world scenarios, new tumor types or subtypes may emerge, requiring the transfer learning models to adapt and accommodate new knowledge. Incremental learning and lifelong learning techniques can be explored to enable continuous learning and updating of the models without forgetting previously acquired knowledge. This involves developing algorithms that can dynamically incorporate new data while preserving the knowledge learned from previous tasks. By enabling incremental updates, transfer learning models can continually improve their performance and adapt to emerging challenges in brain tumor classification.

D. *Privacy and Data Security*

Healthcare data, including brain tumor images, are highly sensitive and require strict privacy and security measures. Future research should address privacy concerns and develop techniques to ensure the privacy-preserving nature of transfer learning models. This may involve techniques such as federated learning, where models are trained collaboratively on distributed data without sharing raw data. Additionally, techniques for secure model aggregation and encryption can be explored to protect patient data during the training and deployment of transfer learning models.

E. *Integrating with Clinical Decision Support System (CDSS)*

Integrating transfer learning models into clinical decision support systems can significantly enhance the efficiency and accuracy of brain tumor diagnosis and treatment planning [39]. Future research should focus on developing user-friendly interfaces and tools that seamlessly integrate transfer learning models with existing clinical workflows [40]. This can involve the development of interactive visualization techniques, model interpretability tools, and user-friendly interfaces that enable clinicians to easily access and utilize the predictions of transfer learning models in their decision-making processes.

F. *Multi-task Learning and Knowledge Distillation*

Multi-task learning, where a single model is trained to perform multiple related tasks simultaneously, can be explored in the context of brain tumor classification [41].

By jointly learning multiple tasks, such as tumor segmentation, subtype classification, and prognosis prediction, transfer learning models can capture more comprehensive and holistic knowledge about brain tumors [42]. Additionally, knowledge distillation techniques, where knowledge is transferred from complex models to simpler models, can be employed to compress large transfer learning models and improve their deployment efficiency in resource-constrained environments [43].

Transfer learning for brain tumor classification holds immense potential for improving diagnostic accuracy and aiding clinical decision-making. Future research efforts should focus on addressing challenges related to interpretability, domain adaptation, incremental learning, privacy, integration with clinical workflows, and multi-task learning. By addressing these research directions, transfer learning models can be further optimized to meet the specific requirements of clinical practice and contribute to improved patient outcomes in the field of brain tumor classification.

VI. Conclusion

In this paper, we explored the use of transfer learning in brain tumor classification, highlighting the challenges, opportunities, and future prospects in this field. Transfer learning has emerged as a powerful approach for leveraging pre-trained models and knowledge from large-scale datasets to improve the performance of brain tumor classification models. By adapting knowledge from related tasks, transfer learning models can enhance the accuracy, efficiency, and generalization ability of brain tumor classification systems. the fundamental concepts of transfer learning and its application in brain tumor classification. Various transfer learning techniques, including fine-tuning, feature extraction, and domain adaptation, were examined, along with their advantages and limitations. A comprehensive review of state-of-the-art transfer learning models for brain tumor classification provided insights into their architectures, training strategies, and performance. The paper also discussed the challenges and opportunities associated with transfer learning in brain tumor classification. Challenges such as data bias, privacy concerns, algorithmic transparency, validation, and the need for human-AI collaboration were identified. Opportunities for improvement were highlighted, including the exploration of interpretability methods, domain adaptation techniques, incremental learning approaches, and multi-task learning for enhanced brain tumor classification.

Acknowledgment

This research was funded by German University of Technology (GUTech) – Muscat, Sultanate of Oman, under Seed Grant SG/23/NR/CS/RA.

References

[1] Q. T. Ostrom, G. Cioffi, K. Waite, C. Kruchko, and J. S. Barnholtz-Sloan, "CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2014–2018," Neuro-oncology, vol. 23, pp. iii1-iii105, 2021.

[2] J. Amin, M. Sharif, N. Gul, M. Raza, M. A. Anjum, M. W. Nisar, et al., "Brain tumor detection by using stacked autoencoders in deep learning," Journal of medical systems, vol. 44, pp. 1-12, 2020.

[3] G. Wang, W. Li, S. Ourselin, and T. Vercauteren, "Automatic brain tumor segmentation based on cascaded convolutional neural networks with uncertainty estimation," Frontiers in computational neuroscience, vol. 13, p. 56, 2019.

[4] K. Weiss, T. M. Khoshgoftaar, and D. Wang, "A survey of transfer learning," Journal of Big data, vol. 3, pp. 1-40, 2016.

[5] B. Badjie and E. D. Ülker, "A Deep Transfer Learning Based Architecture for Brain Tumor Classification Using MR Images," Information Technology and Control, vol. 51, pp. 332-344, 2022.

[6] S. J. Pan and Q. Yang, "A survey on transfer learning," IEEE Transactions on knowledge and data engineering, vol. 22, pp. 1345-1359, 2010.

[7] H. Guan and M. Liu, "Domain adaptation for medical image analysis: a survey," IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, vol. 69, pp. 1173-1185, 2021.

[8] F. Ullah, A. Salam, M. Abrar, M. Ahmad, F. Ullah, A. Khan, et al., "Machine health surveillance system by using deep learning sparse autoencoder," Soft Computing, vol. 26, pp. 7737-7750, 2022/08/01 2022.

[9] Y. Ganin, E. Ustinova, H. Ajakan, P. Germain, H. Larochelle, F. Laviolette, et al., "Domain-adversarial training of neural networks," The journal of machine learning research, vol. 17, pp. 2096-2030, 2016.

[10] K. Xia, H. Yin, Y. Jin, S. Qiu, and H. Zhao, "Cross-domain brain CT image smart segmentation via shared hidden space transfer FCM clustering," ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications, and Applications (TOMM), vol. 16, pp. 1-21, 2020.

[11] K. Muhammad, S. Khan, J. Del Ser, and V. H. C. De Albuquerque, "Deep learning for multigrade brain tumor classification in smart healthcare systems: A prospective survey," IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems, vol. 32, pp. 507-522, 2020.

[12] J. Yosinski, J. Clune, Y. Bengio, and H. Lipson, "How transferable are features in deep neural networks?," Advances in neural information processing systems, vol. 27, 2014.

[13] G. Litjens, T. Kooi, B. E. Bejnordi, A. A. A. Setio, F. Ciompi, M. Ghafoorian, et al., "A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis," Medical image analysis, vol. 42, pp. 60-88, 2017.

[14] S. Khedkar, V. Subramanian, G. Shinde, and P. Gandhi, "Explainable AI in healthcare," in Healthcare (April 8, 2019). 2nd International Conference on Advances in Science & Technology (ICAST), 2019.

[15] A. Farahani, B. Pourshojae, K. Rasheed, and H. R. Arabnia, "A concise review of transfer learning," in 2020 International Conference on Computational Science and Computational Intelligence (CSCI), 2020, pp. 344-351.

[16] J. Lu, V. Behbood, P. Hao, H. Zuo, S. Xue, and G. Zhang, "Transfer learning using computational intelligence: A survey," Knowledge-Based Systems, vol. 80, pp. 14-23, 2015.

[17] Y. Guan, M. Aamir, Z. Rahman, A. Ali, W. A. Abro, Z. A. Dayo, et al., "A framework for efficient brain tumor classification using MRI images," 2021.

[18] Y. Ji, Z. Liu, X. Hu, P. Wang, and Y. Zhang, "Programmable neural network trojan for pre-trained feature extractor," arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.07766, 2019.

[19] C. B. Akgül, D. L. Rubin, S. Napel, C. F. Beaulieu, H. Greenspan, and B. Acar, "Content-based image retrieval in radiology: current status and future directions," Journal of digital imaging, vol. 24, pp. 208-222, 2011.

[20] C.-H. Yao, B. Gong, H. Qi, Y. Cui, Y. Zhu, and M.-H. Yang, "Federated multi-target domain adaptation," in Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision, 2022, pp. 1424-1433.

[21] K. Stacke, G. Eilertsen, J. Unger, and C. Lundström, "Measuring domain shift for deep learning in histopathology," IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics, vol. 25, pp. 325-336, 2020.

[22] L. Shao, F. Zhu, and X. Li, "Transfer learning for visual categorization: A survey," IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems, vol. 26, pp. 1019-1034, 2014.

[23] X. Zhang, "The AlexNet, LeNet-5 and VGG NET applied to CIFAR-10," in 2021 2nd International Conference on Big Data & Artificial Intelligence & Software Engineering (ICBASE), 2021, pp. 414-419.

[24] M. Sikaroudi, M. Hosseini, R. Gonzalez, S. Rahnamayan, and H. Tizhoosh, "Generalization of vision pre-trained models for histopathology," Scientific reports, vol. 13, p. 6065, 2023.

[25] N. M. Elaraby, M. Elmogy, and S. Barakat, "Deep Learning: Effective tool for big data analytics," International Journal of Computer Science Engineering (IJCSE), vol. 9, 2016.

[26] V. Tucci, J. Saary, and T. E. Doyle, "Factors influencing trust in medical artificial intelligence for healthcare professionals: A narrative review," J. Med. Artif. Intell, vol. 5, 2022.

[27] Y.-Y. Tsai, P.-Y. Chen, and T.-Y. Ho, "Transfer learning without knowing: Reprogramming black-box machine learning models with scarce data and limited resources," in International Conference on Machine Learning, 2020, pp. 9614-9624.

[28] G. Novakovsky, N. Dexter, M. W. Libbrecht, W. W. Wasserman, and S. Mostafavi, "Obtaining genetics insights from deep learning via explainable artificial intelligence," Nature Reviews Genetics, vol. 24, pp. 125-137, 2023.

[29] Y. Pan, W. Huang, Z. Lin, W. Zhu, J. Zhou, J. Wong, et al., "Brain tumor grading based on neural networks and convolutional neural networks," in 2015 37th annual international conference of the IEEE engineering in medicine and biology society (EMBC), 2015, pp. 699-702.

[30] A. Chakraborty and D. Vetrithangam, "ExRAN: Deep Ensemble Majority Voting using Transfer Learning for Brain tumor Identification from Magnetic Resonance Imaging," in 2023 International Conference on Inventive Computation Technologies (ICICT), 2023, pp. 130-134.

[31] F. Li, C. Tan, and F. Dong, "Electrical resistance tomography image reconstruction with densely connected convolutional neural network," IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement, vol. 70, pp. 1-11, 2020.

[32] O. Pavliuk, M. Mishchuk, and C. Strauss, "Transfer Learning Approach for Human Activity Recognition Based on Continuous Wavelet Transform," Algorithms, vol. 16, p. 77, 2023.

[33] M. Nasim, A. Dhali, F. Afrin, N. T. Zaman, and N. Karim, "The prominence of artificial intelligence in covid-19," arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.09537, 2021.

[34] R. Vij and S. Arora, "A novel deep transfer learning based computerized diagnostic Systems for Multi-class imbalanced diabetic retinopathy severity classification," Multimedia Tools and Applications, pp. 1-38, 2023.

[35] J. Varghese, "Artificial intelligence in medicine: chances and challenges for wide clinical adoption," Visceral medicine, vol. 36, pp. 443-449, 2020.

[36] D. T. Huff, A. J. Weisman, and R. Jeraj, "Interpretation and visualization techniques for deep learning models in medical imaging," Physics in Medicine & Biology, vol. 66, p. 04TR01, 2021.

[37] R. Gong, W. Li, Y. Chen, and L. V. Gool, "Dlow: Domain flow for adaptation and generalization," in Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2019, pp. 2477-2486.

[38] I. Oyewole, A. Chehade, and Y. Kim, "A controllable deep transfer learning network with multiple domain adaptation for battery state-of-charge estimation," Applied Energy, vol. 312, p. 118726, 2022.

[39] M. A. Musen, B. Middleton, and R. A. Greenes, "Clinical decision-support systems," in Biomedical informatics: computer applications in health care and biomedicine, ed: Springer, 2021, pp. 795-840.

[40] C. J. Cai, S. Winter, D. Steiner, L. Wilcox, and M. Terry, "" Hello AI": uncovering the onboarding needs of medical practitioners for human-AI collaborative decision-making," Proceedings of the ACM on Human-computer Interaction, vol. 3, pp. 1-24, 2019.

[41] W.-H. Li and H. Bilen, "Knowledge distillation for multi-task learning," in Computer Vision–ECCV 2020 Workshops: Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part VI 16, 2020, pp. 163-176.

[42] L. Ju, X. Wang, X. Zhao, H. Lu, D. Mahapatra, P. Bonnington, et al., "Synergic adversarial label learning for grading retinal diseases via knowledge distillation and multi-task learning," IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, vol. 25, pp. 3709-3720, 2021.

[43] M. Hentschel, E. Tsunoo, and T. Okuda, "Making punctuation restoration robust and fast with multi-task learning and knowledge distillation," in ICASSP 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2021, pp. 7773-7777.