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#### Abstract

In recent years, a variety of information has been converted into electronic data including highly private information. To protect such secret data from loss or theft, secret sharing schemes have been proposed. In the schemes, for given integers $n$ and $\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{n}$ data blocks called shares are generated from the secret data so that following conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. (i) The secret data is constructed from $k$ or more shares. (ii) We can not obtain any information regarding the secret data from $k-1$ or less shares. We have proposed a secret sharing scheme to reduce the total data size of all shares. In this paper, we improve the scheme to further reduce the total data size using such a property of the EXOR operation that arbitrary logic variable $a_{i}$ among $n-1$ logic variables $a_{0}, a_{1}$, $\cdots, a_{n-2}$ is obtained from $a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_{n-2}$ and the EXOR operation ( $a_{0} \oplus a_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{n-2}$ ) of the $n-$ 1 logic variables. The improved scheme can reduce the total data size by about $33 \%$ at most compared to our previous scheme.


## I. Introduction

With the development and spread of information technologies, various types of information such as electronic medical records and online banking data have been converted into electronic data. The main scheme to prevent their leakage is to encrypt them [1]-[4]. However if the secret key for encryption is stolen or lost due to disaster, the encryption becomes meaningless.

Secret sharing schemes [5]-[8] have been proposed to protect secret keys and other confidential data such as highly sensitive files from loss or theft. In the schemes, given integers $n$ and $k, n$ blocks called shares are generated from the secret data, and each share is saved in a different location. We can construct the secret data by collecting $k$ or more shares, and we can not construct the secret data even if we collect $k-1$ or less shares and there are no information leakage from the $k-1$ or less shares. Since the computational cost of the schemes of papers [5] and [6] is large, they are only applicable to small size data such as secret keys. On the other hand, paper [7] can be applied to larger data sizes because the computational cost is greatly reduced by using EXOR operation. However, since the size of each share is the same as the size of the secret data (say $L$ Bytes) in the three schemes, the total data size of shares is $n L$. In order to reduce the total data size, we have proposed a secret sharing scheme [8] which can reduce the total data size to $2 / n$.

In this paper, we propose a new secret sharing scheme to further reduce the total amount of data. In our previous scheme [8], the secret data is partitioned into $n$ blocks of equal size $B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n-1}$ and $n-k+1$ blocks $B_{i}, B_{i-1}, \cdots, B_{i-n+k}$ ( + and - are $\bmod n$ operation) consist of share $i(0 \leq i \leq$ $n-1$ ) after the $n-k+1$ blocks are encrypted. In our proposed scheme, we assume $k \leq n-1$ (when $k=n$, we use our previous scheme). The secret data is partitioned into $n-1$ blocks of equal size $B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n-2}$ and $B_{n-1}$ is constructed as their EXOR $\left(B_{0} \oplus B_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{n-2}\right)$, and $n-k$ blocks $B_{i}, B_{i-1}, \cdots, B_{i-n+k+1}$ consist of share $i$ after the $n-k$ blocks are encrypted. Although each block $B_{i}$ in our new scheme is a little bit larger than each block $B_{i}$ in our previous scheme, the number of blocks in each share in our proposed scheme is smaller by one, and consequently total number of blocks in our proposed scheme is smaller by $n$. Therefore, we can reduce the total data size for most values of $n$ and $k$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows, Section II describes the scheme of paper [8]. Section III presents our proposed scheme. Section IV discusses the total data size, and finally Section V concludes the paper.

## II. Previous Scheme to Reduce the Total Data Size

Our previous scheme constructs $n$ shares as follows for given integers $n$ and $k$.
(1) Partition the secret data into $n$ blocks of equal size $B_{0}, B_{1}$, $\cdots, B_{n-1}$ as show in Fig. 1.

| $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{n-2}$ | $B_{n-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Fig. 1. Block Partitioning in Our Previous Scheme
(2) Create TABLE I, where row 1 is $B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n-1}$, and row $j(2 \leq j \leq n-k+1)$ is obtained by rotating row 1 $j-1$ times. We call the set of $B_{i}$ in column $i$ block set $B S_{i}$.
(3) Generate a random value $R$ whose number of bits is equal to each $B_{i}$, and generate value $X_{i}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ which is a share of $R(R$ can be constructed by collecting $k$ or more $X_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ and cannot be constructed even if we collect $k-1$ or less $X_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ ) using the secret sharing scheme described in paper [7] (note that the number of bits in each $X_{i}$ is also equal to each $B_{i}$ ).

TABLE I. Block Sets in Our Previous Scheme

|  | $B S_{0}$ | $B S_{1}$ | ... | $B S_{i-1}$ | $B S_{i}$ | $B S_{i+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $B S_{n-2}$ | $B S_{n-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| row 1 | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $B_{i-1}$ | $B_{i}$ | $B_{i+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{n-2}$ | $B_{n-1}$ |
| row 2 | $B_{n-1}$ | $B_{2}$ | ... | $B_{i-2}$ | $B_{i-1}$ | $B_{i}$ | ... | $B_{n-2}$ | $B_{n-2}$ |
|  | $B_{n-2}$ | $B_{n-1}$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{i-3}$ | $B_{i-2}$ | $B_{i-1}$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{n-4}$ | $B_{n-3}$ |
|  | ! | $\vdots$ | $\because$ | ! | ! | ! | $\because$ | ! | ! |
| ${ }_{n-k+1}^{\text {row }}$ | $B_{k}$ | $B_{k+1}$ | ... | $B_{i-n+k-1}$ | $B_{i-n+k}$ | $B_{i-n+k+1}$ | ... | $B_{k-2}$ | $B_{k-1}$ |

TABLE II. Encrypted Block Sets in Our Previous Scheme

|  | $E B S_{0}$ | $E B S_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $E B S_{i-1}$ | $E B S_{i}$ | $E B S_{i+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $E B S_{n-2}$ | $E B S_{n-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $B_{1} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{i-1} \oplus R$ | $B_{i} \oplus R$ | $B_{i+1} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{n-2} \oplus R$ | $B_{n-1} \oplus R$ |
| row 2 | $B_{n-1} \oplus R$ | $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $\ldots$ | $B_{i-2} \oplus R$ | $B_{i-1} \oplus R$ | $B_{i} \oplus R$ | ... | $B_{n-3} \oplus R$ | $B_{n-2} \oplus R$ |
| row 3 | $B_{n-2} \oplus R$ | $B_{n-1} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{i-3} \oplus R$ | $B_{i-2} \oplus R$ | $B_{i-1} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{n-4} \oplus R$ | $B_{n-3} \oplus R$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { row } \\ n-k+1 \end{gathered}$ | : | : | $\because$ | : | : | : | $\because$ | : | : |
|  | $B_{k} \oplus R$ | $B_{k+1} \oplus R$ | ... | $B_{i-n+k-1} \oplus R$ | $B_{i-n+k} \oplus R$ | $B_{i-n+k+1} \oplus R$ | ... | $B_{k-2} \oplus R$ | $B_{k-1} \oplus R$ |
|  | $X_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{i-1}$ | $X_{i}$ | $X_{i+1}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{n-2}$ | $X_{n-1}$ |

(4) Execute the EXOR operation of $R$ and each block $B_{i}$ ( $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) as shown in TABLE II (note that because each $B_{i}$ is a plain text, we encrypt each $B_{i}$ using the EXOR operation), and include each $X_{i}$ into $B S_{i}$. We call such created $B S_{i}$ encrypted $B S_{i}\left(E B S_{i}\right)$.
(5) Consider each $E B S_{i}$ as share $i$.

Because we can collect all $B_{i} \mathrm{~s}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ by collecting arbitrary $k$ block sets (see paper [8] for the proof), we can collect all $B_{i} \oplus R \mathrm{~s}(0 \leq i \leq n-1)$ by collecting $k$ encrypted block sets. On the other hand, we can obtain $R$ by collecting arbitrary $k$ encrypted block sets because the $k$ encrypted block sets includes $k X_{i}$ s. Thus we can collect all $B_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ by collecting arbitrary $k$ encrypted block sets.

TABLEs III and IV show block sets and encrypted block sets for $n=5$ and $k=3$. We can confirm that arbitrary three encrypted block sets have all $B_{i} \oplus R \mathrm{~s}$, that is, $B_{1} \oplus R, B_{2} \oplus$ $R, B_{3} \oplus R, B_{4} \oplus R$ and $B_{5} \oplus R$.

TABLE III. Block Sets in Our Previous Scheme $(n=5, k=3)$

| $B S_{0}$ | $B S_{1}$ | $B S_{2}$ | $B S_{3}$ | $B S_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ |
| $B_{4}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ |

TABLE IV. Encrypted Block Sets in Our Previous Scheme ( $n=5, k=3$ )

| $E B S_{0}$ | $E B S_{1}$ | $E B S_{2}$ | $E B S_{3}$ | $E B S_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $B_{1} \oplus R$ | $B_{2} \oplus R$ | $B_{3} \oplus R$ | $B_{4} \oplus R$ |
| $B_{4} \oplus R$ | $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $B_{1} \oplus R$ | $B_{2} \oplus R$ | $B_{3} \oplus R$ |
| $B_{3} \oplus R$ | $B_{4} \oplus R$ | $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $B_{1} \oplus R$ | $B_{2} \oplus R$ |
| $X_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{4}$ |

## III. Proposed Scheme

In our proposed scheme, the secret data is partitioned into $n-1$ blocks of equal size, $B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n-2}$ and $B_{n-1}$ is constructed as their EXOR $\left(B_{0} \oplus B_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{n-2}\right)$, and $n-k$ blocks $B_{i}, B_{i-1}, \cdots, B_{i-n+k+1}$ consist of share $i$ after the $n-k$ blocks are encrypted. Although each block $B_{i}$ in our new scheme is a little bit larger than each block $B_{i}$ in our previous scheme, the number of blocks in each share in our proposed scheme is smaller by one, and consequently total number of blocks in our proposed scheme is smaller by $n$. Therefore, we can reduce the total data size for most values of $n$ and $k$. The detailed steps to create shares is as follows.
(1) Partition the secret data into $n-1$ blocks of equal size, $B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n-2}$ as shown in Fig. 2.

| $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{n-3}$ | $B_{n-2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Fig. 2. Block Partitioning in Our Proposed Scheme
(2) Create TABLE V, where $B_{n-1}$ is the EXOR of $B_{0}, B_{1}$, $\cdots, B_{n-2}$ and row 1 is $B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n-2}, B_{n-1}$ and row $j$ is obtained by rotating row $1 j-1$ times.
(3) Generate a random value $R$ whose number of bits is equal to each $B_{i}$, and generate value $X_{i}$ which is a share of $R$ using the scheme described in paper [7].
(4) Perform the EXOR operation of each $B_{i} \mathrm{~s}(0 \leq i \leq n-$ 2 ) and $R$ as shown in TABLE VI, and if the number of operands in $B_{n-1}$, which is $n-1$, is an odd number, then perform the EXOR operation of $B_{n-1}$ and $R$ (note that TABLE VI corresponds to the case of an odd number and we do not perform the EXOR operation of $B_{n-1}$ and $R$ if $n-1$ is an even number), and include $X_{i}(0 \leq i \leq$ $n-1)$ into $E B S_{i}$.
(5) Consider each $E B S_{i}$ as share $i$

The TABLEs VII and VIII show block sets and encrypted block sets respectively created by the proposed scheme for the $n=5, k=3$. In the same way, TABLE IX and X show block sets and encrypted block sets respectively for $n=6, k=3$. As shown in TABLEs VIII and X, when $n=5(n=6)$, because $n-1$ is an even (odd) number, we do not (do) perform the EXOR operation of $B_{n-1}$ and $R$. Later we describe the reason why we do not (do) perform the EXOR operation of $B_{n-1}$ and $R$ when $n-1$ is an even (odd) number.

TABLE VII. Block Sets in Our Proposed Scheme ( $n=5, k=3$ )

| $B S_{0}$ | $B S_{1}$ | $B S_{2}$ | $B S_{3}$ | $B S_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ |
| $B_{4}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ |

TABLE VIII. Encrypted Block Sets in Our Proposed Scheme ( $n=5, k=$ 3)

| $E B S_{0}$ | $E B S_{1}$ | $E B S_{2}$ | $E B S_{3}$ | $E B S_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $B_{1} \oplus R$ | $B_{2} \oplus R$ | $B_{3} \oplus R$ | $B_{4}$ |
| $B_{4}$ | $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $B_{1} \oplus R$ | $B_{2} \oplus R$ | $B_{3} \oplus R$ |
| $X_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{4}$ |

TABLE IX. Block Sets in Our Proposed Scheme $(n=6, k=3)$

| $B S_{0}$ | $B S_{1}$ | $B S_{2}$ | $B S_{3}$ | $B S_{4}$ | $B S_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ |
| $B_{5}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ | $B_{4}$ |
| $B_{4}$ | $B_{5}$ | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | $B_{2}$ | $B_{3}$ |

TABLE X. Encrypted Block Sets in Our Proposed Scheme ( $n=6, k=3$ )

| $E B S_{0}$ | $E B S_{1}$ | $E B S_{2}$ | $E B S_{3}$ | $E B S_{4}$ | $E B S_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $B_{1} \oplus R$ | $B_{2} \oplus R$ | $B_{3} \oplus R$ | $B_{4} \oplus R$ | $B_{5} \oplus R$ |
| $B_{5} \oplus R$ | $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $B_{1} \oplus R$ | $B_{2} \oplus R$ | $B_{3} \oplus R$ | $B_{4} \oplus R$ |
| $B_{4} \oplus R$ | $B_{5} \oplus R$ | $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $B_{1} \oplus R$ | $B_{2} \oplus R$ | $B_{3} \oplus R$ |
| $X_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{4}$ | $X_{5}$ |

When we collect all $B_{i} \mathrm{~s}(0 \leq i \leq n-2)$, it is trivial that we can construct the secret data. So, we prove that we can collect all $B_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ by collecting arbitrary $k E B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ after proving the following lemma.
[Lemma] We can collect $n-1 \quad B_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ among $n \quad B_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ by collecting arbitrary $k B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$.
[Proof of the lemma] Assuming that $k B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}, B S_{i_{0}}, B S_{i_{1}}, \cdots$, $B S_{i_{k-1}}$ among $n B S_{i}$ s are collected, we prove by dividing the following two cases.
(Case 1) Case that for every value of $m(0 \leq m \leq k-1)$, $i_{m+1}-i_{m}(+$ is $\bmod k$ addition $)$ is less than or equal to $n-$ $k-1$ :
In TABLE XI, the collected $B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ are hatched in black. We first focus on columns between $B S_{i_{0}}$ and $B S_{i_{1}}$. In row 1 , blocks from $B_{i_{0}+1}$ to $B_{i_{1}-1}$ are not included in the hatched area corresponding to $B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$. However, in row 2, $B_{i_{1}-1}$ is included in the hatched area because row 2 is obtained by
rotating row 1 one time. Generally speaking, in row $i_{1}-i_{0}-$ $j+1\left(1 \leq j \leq i_{1}-i_{0}-1\right), B_{i_{0}+j}$ is included in the hatched area. Thus, in row $i_{1}-i_{0}, B_{i_{0}+1}$ is included in the hatched area. On the other hand, because $i_{1}-i_{0}<n-k$ from the assumption, row 2 to $i_{1}-i_{0}$ are located above row $n-k$. Thus, blocks $B_{i_{0}+1}$ to $B_{i_{1}-1}$ are included in the hatch area. The discussion for $m=0$ holds for the other values of $m$. Therefore, every block which is not included in the hatched area in row 1 is included in the hatched area in another row above row $n-k$.
(Case 2) Case that for an value $m(0 \leq m \leq k-1), i_{m+1}-$ $i_{m}(+$ is $\bmod k$ addition) is equal to $n-k$ :

Without loss of generality, we assume that $i_{1}-i_{0}$ is equal to $n-k$. Note that because we collected $k B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ among $n B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$, $B S_{0}$ to $B S_{i_{0}}$ and $B S_{i_{1}}$ to $B S_{n-1}$ are all collected and $B S_{i_{0}+1}$ to $B S_{i_{1}-1}$ are not collected. In TABLE XII, we show this situation. The hatched area in black means the collected $B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$, and the non-hatched area means non-collected $B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$. As described in Case $1, B_{i_{0}+j}$ is included in the hatched area in row $i_{1}-i_{0}-j+1$. Therefore, each of $B_{i_{0}+1}$ to $B_{i_{1}-1}$ which are not included in the hatched area in row 1 is included in the hatched area in another row as shown in TABLE XII. On the other hand, only $B_{i_{0}+1}$ is not included in the hatched area in any row as shown in TABLE XII.

Using the lemma describe above, we prove that we can collect all $B_{i} s \quad(0 \leq i \leq n-2)$ by collecting arbitrary $k$ $E B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ as follows.

We first prove when $n-1$ is an odd number. Because TABLE V and VI are the same structure except the last row where $X_{i}$ s are included in TABLE VI, we can collect $n-1$ encrypted block $B_{i} \oplus R \mathrm{~s}$ among $n$ encrypted block $B_{i} \oplus R \mathrm{~s}$ ( $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) from the lemma. If the collected $n-1$ encrypted blocks are $B_{0} \oplus R, B_{1} \oplus R, \cdots, B_{n-2} \oplus R$ (that is $B_{n-1} \oplus R$ is not included), the we can collect $B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots$, $B_{n-2}$ because $R$ is calculated from $X_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ which are included in the collected $E B S_{i} \mathrm{~s}$. If the collected $n-1$ encrypted blocks do not included $B_{i} \oplus R$, that is, $B_{0} \oplus R, B_{1} \oplus R, \cdots$, $B_{i-1} \oplus R, B_{i+1} \oplus R, \cdots, B_{n-2} \oplus R, B_{n-1} \oplus R$ are included, we can collect $B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{i-1}, B_{i+1}, \cdots, B_{n-2}, B_{n-1}$ because $R$ is calculated from $X_{i}$ s which are included in the collected $E B S_{i}$ s. From the definition of $B_{n-1}, B_{n-1}=B_{0} \oplus$ $B_{1} \oplus \cdots B_{i-1} \oplus B_{i} \oplus B_{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{n-2}$. Thus, we can obtain $B_{i}$ by performing the EXOR operation of $B_{0}, B_{1}, \cdots$, $B_{i-1}, B_{i+1}, \cdots, B_{n-2}$ and $B_{n-1}$. Thus we can collect all $n-1$ $B_{i} \mathrm{~s}(0 \leq i \leq n-2)$.

Next we prove when $n-1$ is an even number. When $n-$ 1 is an even number, we do not the EXOR operation of $B_{n-1}$ and $R$ as described above. In the proof for an odd number of $n-1$ described above, if we change $B_{n-1} \oplus R$ to $B_{n-1}$, the same proof holds for an even number of $n-1$.

We explain the reason why we do not perform the EXOR operation of $B_{n-1}$ and $R$ when $n-1$ is an even number. In TABLE VIII, assume that we put $B_{4} \oplus R$ instead of $B_{4}$. When we collect $E B S_{1}$ and $E B S_{4}$, we obtain $B_{0} \oplus R, B_{1} \oplus$ $R, \quad B_{3} \oplus R \quad$ and $B_{4} \oplus R\left(=B_{0} \oplus B_{1} \oplus B_{2} \oplus B_{3} \oplus R\right)$. When we perform the EXOR operation of the four EXOR
expressions, $B_{2}$ itself (which is a plain text) is obtained, which means we obtain a part of the secret data by collecting two ( $k-1$ ) shares, and consequently it does not satisfy a necessary condition of secret sharing schemes. In order to avoid such situation, we make every EXOR expression have an even number of operands because the EXOR operation of

EXOR expressions with even numbers of operands makes an EXOR expression with an even number of operands, which leads to avoidance of exposing a part of the secret data. Thus, when $n-1$ (the number of operands in $B_{n-1}$ ) is an even number, we do not perform the EXOR operation of $B_{n-1}$ and $R$.

TABLE V. Block Sets in Our Proposed Scheme

|  | $B S_{0}$ | $B S_{1}$ | ... | $B S_{i-1}$ | $B S_{i}$ | $B S_{i+1}$ | ... | $B S_{n-2}$ | $B S_{n-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| row 1 | $B_{0}$ | $B_{1}$ | ... | $B_{i-1}$ | $B_{i}$ | $B_{i+1}$ | $\ldots$ | $B_{n-2}$ | $B_{n-1}$ |
| row 2 | $B_{n-1}$ | $B_{0}$ | ... | $B_{i-2}$ | $B_{i-1}$ | $B_{i}$ | ... | $B_{n-3}$ | $B_{n-2}$ |
| row 3 | $B_{n-2}$ | $B_{n-1}$ | ... | $B_{i-3}$ | $B_{i-2}$ | $B_{i-1}$ | ... | $B_{n-4}$ | $B_{n-3}$ |
|  | ! | ! | $\because$ | ! | : | : | $\because$ | : | ! |
| ${ }_{n-k}^{\text {row }}$ | $B_{k+1}$ | $B_{k+2}$ | ... | $B_{i-n+k}$ | $B_{i-n+k+1}$ | $B_{i-n+k+2}$ | ... | $B_{k-1}$ | $B_{k}$ |

TABLE VI. Encrypted Block Sets in Our Proposed Scheme

| row 1 | $E B S_{0}$ | $E B S_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $E B S_{i-1}$ | $E B S_{i}$ | $E B S_{i+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $E B S_{n-2}$ | $E B S_{n-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| row 2 | $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $B_{1} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{i-1} \oplus R$ | $B_{i} \oplus R$ | $B_{i+1} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{n-2} \oplus R$ | $B_{n-1} \oplus R$ |
| row 3 | $B_{n-1} \oplus R$ | $B_{0} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{i-2} \oplus R$ | $B_{i-1} \oplus R$ | $B_{i} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{n-3} \oplus R$ | $B_{n-2} \oplus R$ |
|  | $B_{n-2} \oplus R$ | $B_{n-1} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{i-3} \oplus R$ | $B_{i-2} \oplus R$ | $B_{i-1} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{n-4} \oplus R$ | $B_{n-3} \oplus R$ |
| row <br> $\boldsymbol{n - k}$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
|  | $B_{k+1} \oplus R$ | $B_{k+2} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{i-n+k} \oplus R$ | $B_{i-n+k+1} \oplus R$ | $B_{i-n+k+2} \oplus R$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{k-1} \oplus R$ | $B_{k} \oplus R$ |
|  | $X_{0}$ | $X_{1}$ | $\cdots$ | $X_{i-1}$ | $X_{i}$ | $X_{i+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $X_{n-2}$ | $X_{n-1}$ |

TABLE XI. Block Sets in Case 1
$\leq n-k-1$ columns

| $\xrightarrow{\text { c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\cdots$ | $B S_{i_{0}}$ | $B S_{i_{0}+1}$ | $\ldots$ | $B S_{i_{0}+j}$ | $B S_{i_{0}+j+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $B S_{i_{1}}$ | ... | $B S_{i_{k-1}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| row 1 | ... | $B_{i_{0}}$ | $B_{i_{0}+1}$ | $\ldots$ | $B_{i_{0}+j}$ | $B_{i_{0}+j+1}$ | ... | $B_{i_{1}}$ | ... | $B_{i_{k-1}}$ | ... |
| row 2 | ... | $B_{i_{0}-1}$ | $B_{i_{0}}$ | $\ldots$ | $B_{i_{0}+j-1}$ | $B_{i_{0}+j}$ | ... | $B_{i_{1}-1}$ | ... | $B_{i_{k-2}}$ | ... |
|  | $\because$ | ! | ! | $\because$ | ! | ! | $\because$ | $\vdots$ | $\because$ | ! | $\because$ |
| $i_{1}-i_{0}^{\text {row }}-j+1$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $B_{i_{0}+j}$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ |
|  | $\because$ | ! | : | $\because$ | ! | : | $\because$ | ! | $\because$ | ! | $\because$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { row }}{i_{1}-i_{0}}$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $B_{i_{0}+1}$ | ... | ... | ... |
|  | $\because$ | ! | ! | $\because$ | : | : | $\because$ | ! | $\because$ | ! | $\because$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { row } \\ & n-k \end{aligned}$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |


| $=n-k \text { columns }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\ldots$ | $B S_{i_{0}}$ | $B S_{i_{0}+1}$ | $B S_{i_{0}+2}$ | ... | $B S_{i_{0}+j}$ | $B S_{i_{0}+j+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $B S_{i_{1}-1}$ | $B S_{i_{1}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| row 1 | ... | $B_{i_{0}}$ | $B_{i_{0}+1}$ | $B_{i_{0}+2}$ | ... | $B_{i_{0}+j}$ | $B_{i_{0}+j+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{i_{1}-1}$ | $B_{i_{1}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| row 2 | ... | $B_{i_{0}-1}$ | $B_{i_{0}}$ | $B_{i_{0}+1}$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{i_{0}+j-1}$ | $B_{i_{0}+j}$ | $\cdots$ | $B_{i_{1}-2}$ | $B_{i_{1}-1}$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | $\because$ | ! | ! | : | $\because$ | : | : | $\because$ | ! | ! | $\because$ |
| $i_{1}-i_{0}^{\text {row }}-j+1$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | .. | ... | $B_{i_{0}+j}$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | $\because$ | ! | ! | : | $\because$ | : | ! | $\because$ | ! | ! | $\because$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { row }}{n-k-2}$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $B_{i_{0}+4}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { row }}{n-k-1}$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $B_{i_{0}+3}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { row } \\ n-k \end{gathered}$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $B_{i_{0}+1}$ | $B_{i_{0}+2}$ | $\ldots$ |

## IV. Total Data Size in the Proposed Scheme

The total data size in our previous scheme is calculated as follows. The size of each block is $L / n$, each block set has $n-k+1$ blocks and $X_{i}$ whose size is $L / n$, and the number of block sets is $n$. So, the total data size is calculated as follows.

$$
\left\{\frac{L}{n}(n-k+1)+\frac{L}{n}\right\} n=(n-k+2) L
$$

On the other hand, the total data size in our proposed scheme is calculated as follows. The size of each block is $L /(n-1)$, each block set has $n-k$ blocks and $X_{i}$ whose size is $L /(n-1)$, and the number of block sets is $n$. So, the total data size is calculated as follows.

$$
\left\{\frac{L}{n-1}(n-k)+\frac{L}{n-1}\right\} n=\frac{n L(n-k+1)}{n-1}
$$

Thus, the ratio of the total data size in our proposed scheme to that in our previous scheme is calculated as follows.

$$
\frac{\frac{n L(n-k+1)}{n-1}}{(n-k+2) L}=\frac{n(n-k+1)}{(n-1)(n-k+2)}
$$

Fig. 3 shows the ratios for $n=5,10$ and 20 . When $k=$ 1 , the ratio is equal to $n^{2} /\left(n^{2}-1\right)$ and consequently the total data size in our proposed scheme is a little bit larger than that in our previous scheme. When $k=2$, the ratio is equal to one, which means the total data sizes in the both schemes are the same. When $k \geq 3$, the ratio is smaller than one, which means the total data size in our proposed scheme is smaller than that in our previous scheme. When the value of $n$ is fixed, the ratio becomes smaller for larger value of $k$ and the maximum value (when $k=n-1$ ) of the ratio is $2 n / 3(n-1)$, and consequently the ratio is about $2 / 3$ for large value of $n$.


Fig. 3. Ratio of Total Data Size in Our Proposed Scheme to That in Our Previous Scheme

## V. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a secret sharing scheme that reduces the total data size in our previous scheme. For given integers $n$ and $k$ ( $n$ is the number of shares and $k$ is a necessary number of shares to construct the secret data), we show that (i) when $k=1$, the total data size in our proposed scheme is a little bit larger than that in our previous scheme, (ii) when $k=2$, the total data sizes in the both schemes are the same, and (iii) when $k \geq 3$, the total data size in our proposed scheme is smaller than that in our previous scheme, and when the value of $n$ is fixed, the ratio of the total data size in our proposed scheme to that in our previous scheme becomes smaller for larger value of $k$ and the maximum value of the ratio is $2 n / 3(n-1)$, which is about $2 / 3$ for large value of $n$. From (i) $\sim$ (iii), we can conclude that our propose scheme can reduce the total data size for most values of $n$ and $k$, and the reduction is a maximum of about $33 \%$.

Our future work is as follows. When some of nodes where shares are located can not be used due to failures or natural disaster, locations of shares have a big impact on the possibility of constructing the secret data. Thus, our future work is to consider how to locate shares in the Internet to increase the possibility.
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